Stagnation layers at the collision front between counter-streaming plasma plumes: formation, properties and potential applications

John T. Costello

National Centre for Plasma Science & Technology (NCPST)/
School of Physical Sciences, Dublin City University

www.physics.dcu.ie/~jtc

The collision front for point and annular colliding plasmas - a new target for XFEL probes ?

John T. Costello

National Centre for Plasma Science & Technology (NCPST)/
School of Physical Sciences, Dublin City University

www.physics.dcu.ie/~jtc

Outline of the Talk

1. Dublin and laser based ‘X-rays’
2. Colliding Point Plasmas – Fundamentals
3. Colliding Annular Plasmas
4. Key properties – potential applications
5. Next Steps

First X-ray Shadowgram - Ireland

Brother Potamian CYReilly: Irish Scientist and X-ray Pioneer

J. P. MURRAY
Department of Radiology
University College, Galway

"It is doubtful if any scientific discovery has excited such an immediate and widespread interest as **Roentgen's discovery of X-rays in November 1895**. Within days of his communication, "On a new form of Radiation" to the Wurzburg Medico- Physical Society on the 28th December, 1895, ...appropriate apparatus to generate and record x-rays, though primitive by today's standards, was already widely available in physics laboratories, even in schools such as De La Salle College in Waterford.

It is generally accepted that **the first recorded clinical x-ray photograph in Ireland, of a girl's hand, was produced by Professor Barratt and Mr. Jefcote at the Royal College of Science (now Government Buildings) on 16th March, 1896,** at the request of Richard Bolton McCausland, surgeon to Dr. Steevens' Hospital,....."

Figure 1: X-Ray taken by Brother Potamian in Waterford on 13th April, 1896, showing needle-like F.B. between heads of middle and ring finger metacarpals [1].

1. Brother Potamian O'Reilly. "The Coming of Age of the X-Ray". The Catholic World, 104, 78-82, New York, Oct. 1916.

Part 1. Laser based ‘X-rays’ in Dublin

1970s

1980s

1990s + NBS (NIST)

72 OPTICS LETTERS / Vol. 2, No. 3 / March 1978

New continua for absorption spectroscopy from 40 to 2000 Å

P. K. Carroll, E. T. Kennedy, and G. O'Sullivan

Physics Department, University College, Dublin, Ireland
Received November 7, 1977

VOLUME 57, NUMBER 13 PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 29 SEPTEMBER 1986

Giant-Dipole-Resonance Absorption in Atomic Thorium by a Novel Two-Laser Technique

P. K. Carroll

Physics Department, University College Dublin, Dublin 4, Ireland

and

J. T. Costello

National Institute for Higher Education, Glasnevin, Dublin 9, Ireland
(Received 18 June 1986)

PHYSICAL REVIEW A VOLUME 43, NUMBER 3 1 FEBRUARY 1991

3*p* photoabsorption of free and bound Cr, Cr^+, Mn, and Mn^+

J. T. Costello and E. T. Kennedy

Dublin City University, Glasnevin, Dublin 9, Ireland

B. F. Sonntag

II Institute für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg, West Germany

C. W. Clark

National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899
(Received 16 July 1990)

Paddy Goes to Hamburg…..

Synchrotron – BW3….

1990s



J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 28 (1995) L161–L168. Printed in the UK

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

High-resolution photoion yield measurements of 'hollow' atomic lithium

L M Kiernan†, M-K Lee‡, B F Sonntag‡, P Sladeczek§, P Zimmermann§, E T Kennedy‖, J-P Mosnier‖ and J T Costello‖

2000s

EU RTD Project: HRPI-CT-1999-50009
Title: "X-Ray FEL Pump Probe Facility"
Partners: DESY, DCU, Lund, MBI, Orsay & BESSY



1750 OPTICS LETTERS / Vol. 31, No. 11 / June 1, 2006

Spectroscopic characterization of vacuum ultraviolet free electron laser pulses

S. Düsterer, P. Radcliffe, G. Geloni, U. Jastrow, M. Kuhlmann, E. Plönjes, K. Tiedtke, R. Treusch, and J. Feldhaus

Hamburger Synchrotronstrahlungslabor (HASYLAB) at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY) Notkestrasse 85, D-22603 Hamburg, Germany

P. Nicolosi and L. Poletto

INFM-LUXOR, Department of Information Engineering, University of Padova, Via Gradenigo 6/A, 35131 Padova, Italy

P. Yeates, H. Luna, and J. T. Costello

National Center for Plasma Science and Technology and School of Physical Sciences, Dublin City University, Dublin, Ireland

P. Orr

International Research Centre for Experimental Physics, Queen's University Belfast, BT7 1NN, UK

D. Cubaynes and M. Meyer

LIXAM/CNRS, Centre Universitaire Paris-Sud, Bâtiment 350, F-91405 Orsay Cedex, France

2006 –
1st FEL paper from the DESY collaboration

Paddy Goes on Tour

2012 - FLASH
Cavalieri

ARTICLES
PUBLISHED ONLINE: 18 NOVEMBER 2012 | DOI: 10.1038/NPHOTON.2012.276
nature photonics

Ultrafast X-ray pulse characterization at free-electron lasers

I. Grguraš[1†], A. R. Maier[2,3†], C. Behrens[4†], T. Mazza[5], T. J. Kelly[6], P. Radcliffe[5], S. Düsterer[4], A. K. Kazansky[7,8,9], N. M. Kabachnik[5,9,10], Th. Tschentscher[5], J. T. Costello[6], M. Meyer[5], M. C. Hoffmann[1,11], H. Schlarb[4] and A. L. Cavalieri[1*]

2014 - LCLS
Kienberger

nature photonics
ARTICLES
PUBLISHED ONLINE: 24 NOVEMBER 2014 | DOI: 10.1038/NPHOTON.2014.278

Measuring the temporal structure of few-femtosecond free-electron laser X-ray pulses directly in the time domain

W. Helml[1,2†], A. R. Maier[3,4†], W. Schweinberger[2], I. Grguraš[3,5], P. Radcliffe[6], G. Doumy[7,8], C. Roedig[8], J. Gagnon[2], M. Messerschmidt[9], S. Schorb[9], C. Bostedt[9], F. Grüner[3,4], L. F. DiMauro[8], D. Cubaynes[10], J. D. Bozek[9], Th. Tschentscher[6], J. T. Costello[11], M. Meyer[6,10], R. Coffee[9], S. Düsterer[12], A. L. Cavalieri[3,5] and R. Kienberger[1,2*]

2015 – FERMI
Meyer

Received 6 Dec 2014 | Accepted 2 Mar 2015 | Published 9 Apr 2015
DOI: 10.1038/ncomms7799 OPEN

Sensitivity of nonlinear photoionization to resonance substructure in collective excitation

T. Mazza[1,*], A. Karamatskou[2,3,*], M. Ilchen[1,4], S. Bakhtiarzadeh[1,3], A.J. Rafipoor[1,3], P. O'Keeffe[5], T.J. Kelly[6], N. Walsh[6], J.T. Costello[6], M. Meyer[1] & R. Santra[2,3]

DCU Laser Plasma/Atomic Physics

Laser Plasma @ NCPST - 6 laboratory areas focussed on pulsed laser matter interactions (spectroscopy/ imaging)

Research Domains:

1. Colliding Laser Produced Plasmas
2. Optical and Particle Diagnostics of Laser Produced Plasmas
3. Laser Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy (LIBS)
4. Pulsed Laser Deposition (PLD) of Materials
5. Ionization of Atoms and Ions with Ultra-Fast Laser (UFL), Laser Produced Plasma (LPP) and Free Electron Laser (XFEL) Sources

Some Current Projects:

1. UV-Vis imaging, spectroscopy and interferometry of colliding laser produced plasmas [with and without laser reheating]
2. Double Pulse VUV-LIBS for Elemental Characterisation in Steel
3. Ion emission from single and colliding laser plasmas
4. PLD of ZnO nanostructures
5. 2 photon and 2 colour photoionization of atoms with X-FELs

DCU Laser Plasma-AMO Physics Group

Laser Plasma/AMO Physics @ NCPST - 6 laboratory areas focussed on pulsed laser matter interactions (spectroscopy/ imaging / particle detection)

Principal Investigators (5): John T. Costello, Eugene T. Kennedy (Emeritus), Lampros Nikolopoulos (T), Jean-Paul Mosnier & Paddy Hayden (SFI SIRG PI)

Current Research students (11): Ben Delaney, Stephen Davitt, Hu Lu, Muhammed Alli, Sadaf Syedah, Lazaros Varvarezos, Tejaswi Katravulapally, Adam Prior & Columb Doherty

Recent Int'l Interns (2012-17): K Nishant/R Tejaswi, (LNMIIT, Jaipur), C Hand, (NUIM), S Reddy/R Namboodiri/A Neettiyath (IIT Madras), R Singh/S Gupta (IIT Kanpur), S Howard (Notre Dame), I-M Carrasco Garcia (Malaga), R. Black (Notre Dame), P Colley (Notre Dame)

Recent PhD Grads (2009-2017): Padraig Hough, Conor McLoughlin, Rick O'Haire, Dave Smith, Vincent Richardson, Tommy Walsh, Jack Connolly, Jiang Xi, Leanne Doughty, Eanna MacCarthy, Colm Fallon, Mossy Kelly, D Middleton, Cathal O'Broin, Brian Sheehy, Saikumar Inguva, Nichola Walsh, Getasew Wubetu & William Hanks

Recent Past Postdocs (2011-2017): Satheesh Krishnamurthy (Open Univ. UK), Pat Yeates (Elekta Oncology UK) & Subhash Singh (U. Rochester), Colm Fallon (Edenderry College), Mossy Kelly (Hull Univ.), Pramod Pandey (IIT Kanpur).

Where table top plasmas reside….



Source: XFEL HED Webpage…

Part II – Table-Top Colliding Plasmas



Spot Size = 50 μm (typ.)

Φ: 10^{11} - 10^{14} W.cm^{-2}

T_e : 10 - 1000 eV

N_e: 10^{21} cm^{-3}

$V_{expansion} \geq 10^6$ cm.s^{-1}

Part II – Table-Top Colliding Plasmas

Typical Colliding Plasma Setup

$$d = \gamma f\left(n-1\right)$$



Laser Pulse Energy:	50 - 500 mJ/ beam
Laser Wavelengths:	355nm, 532 nm, 1064 nm
Laser Pulse duration:	170 ps, 6 ns, 15 ns
Focal Spot Size:	~30 - 100 μm
Irradiance:	10^{9} - 10^{11} W.cm^{-2}

Part II – Table-Top Colliding Plasmas

Not a new idea !

First report - 1974

Plasma Physics, Vol. 16, pp. 969 to 975. Pergamon Press 1974. Printed in Northern Ireland

INTERACTIONS BETWEEN TWO COLLIDING LASER PRODUCED PLASMAS

P. T. RUMSBY,* J. W. M. PAUL and M. M. MASOUD†
UKAEA Research Group, Culham Laboratory, Abingdon, Berkshire, England

(*Received* 29 *January* 1974)



'Seed' Plasmas

'Stagnation Layer'

Part II – Table-Top Colliding Plasmas

NGC2346 - ‘Colliding Stars'

NGC2346 -
Planetary Nebula
Distance - 2,000 light years
Extent ~ 0.4 light years

Result of the collision of two stars - believed that one became a red giant
and swallowed its partner in the binary system.

Credit: Hubble Wide Field & Planeary Camera - Massimo Stiavelli (NASA)

Part II – Table-Top Colliding Plasmas

Not table-top…

Hohlraum – Indirect Drive Fusion







PRL **110,** 145005 (2013) PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS week ending 5 APRIL 2013

Collisionless Coupling of Ion and Electron Temperatures in Counterstreaming Plasma Flows

J. S. Ross,[1] H.-S. Park,[1] R. Berger,[1] L. Divol,[1] N. L. Kugland,[1] W. Rozmus,[1,2] D. Ryutov,[1] and S. H. Glenzer[1]
[1]*Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, P. O. Box 808, Livermore, California 94551, USA*
[2]*Department of Physics, University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2R3*
(Received 22 February 2013; published 2 April 2013)

PRL **111,** 085003 (2013) PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS week ending 23 AUGUST 2013

Experimental Characterization of the Stagnation Layer between Two Obliquely Merging Supersonic Plasma Jets

E. C. Merritt,[1,2] A. L. Moser,[1] S. C. Hsu,[1,*] J. Loverich,[3] and M. Gilmore[2]
[1]*Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, USA*
[2]*University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131, USA*
[3]*Tech-X Corporation, Boulder, Colorado 80303, USA*
(Received 22 March 2013; published 22 August 2013)



Part II – Table-Top Colliding Plasmas

Time Evolution:

Tight point focus on each Ca face:

15 ns/ 120 mJ per 1064 nm beam

ICCD: 5 ns gate
10 ns interval



Atomic Ca - Emission Imaging @ 423 nm

H Luna, K D Kavanagh and J T Costello, J. Appl. Phys. **101** Art No 033302 (2007)

Part II – Table-Top Colliding Plasmas

When plasma plumes collide two extreme scenarios can play out:

1. Interpenetration - interactions are mostly via binary collisions

2. Stagnation - plumes decelerate suddenly at the collision plane, rapid accumulation of material, kinetic energy converted into excitation energy (glow), rapid growth of dense (stagnated) layer,

Part II – Table-Top Colliding Plasmas

Collisionality Parameter: $\xi = \dfrac{D}{\lambda_{ii}}$

D ← Plasma - Plasma Separation

λ_{ii} ← Ion - Ion Mean Free Path (mfp)

For collisions between opposing plumes (1, 2)

$$\lambda_{ii}(1-2) = \frac{m_i^2 v_{12}^4}{4\pi e^4 Z^4 n_e \ln(\Lambda_{12})}$$

λ_{ii} >> D → Interpenetration
λ_{ii} ~ D → 'Soft' Stagnation
λ_{ii} << D → 'Hard' Stagnation

Slow moving and dense plumes are more likely to stagnate !

P. W. Rambo and J. Denavit, Phys. Plasmas **1** pp 4050 - 4060 (1994)
J Dardis and J T Costello, Spectrochimica Acta Part B **65** pp627-635 (2010)

Part II – Table-Top Colliding Plasmas

Collisionality Parameter: $\xi = \dfrac{D}{\lambda_{ii}}$

D ← Plasma - Plasma Separation

λ_{ii} ← Ion - Ion Mean Free Path (mfp)

For collisions between opposing plumes (1, 2)

$$\lambda_{ii}(1-2) = \frac{m_i^2 v_{12}^4}{4\pi e^4 Z^4 n_e \ln(\Lambda_{12})}$$

Key point: *One can engineer stagnation layer characteristics; 'hardness', density, temperature, shape, etc. by varying geometry (D) and laser-target interaction physics (mfp, λ_{ii}) - application specific…..*

Part II – Table-Top Colliding Plasmas

Collisionality Parameter: $\xi = \dfrac{D}{\lambda_{ii}}$ ← Plasma - Plasma Separation

← Ion - Ion Mean Free Path (mfp)

For collisions between opposing plumes (1, 2)

$$\lambda_{ii}(1-2) = \frac{m_i^2 v_{12}^4}{4\pi e^4 Z^4 n_e \ln(\Lambda_{12})}$$

So perhaps a stagnation layer could be considered to be a useful alternative to single plumes for e.g., - laboratory astrophysics, plasma XRLs (Bleiner et al., Journal of Laser Physics, 23, 056003, (2013), pulsed laser deposition (PLD), pre-heated targets for bright laser plasma light sources (EUVL), LIBS, LA-ICP-MS, etc.

Part II – SL Diagnostics

Stagnation layer evolution/parameterisation

1. Fast Photography - all phases (0 – 1000 ns)
2. Interferometry - usable early phase (0 – 100 ns)
3. Spectroscopy - usable mid phase (50 - 500 ns)
4. Faraday cup and ESA - ions
5. Others: Shadowgraphy, Moire deflectometry, etc.

D Doria, K D Kavanagh, J T Costello and H Luna, Meas. Sci. Technol. **17** 670 (2006)

P Hough, T J Kelly, C Fallon, C McLoughlin, P Hayden, E T Kennedy, J-P Mosnier, S S Harilal and J T Costello, Meas. Sci. Technol. **23** 125204 (2012)

P Yeates, C Fallon, E T Kennedy and J T Costello, Physics of Plasmas **20**, 093106 (2013)

Part II – Table-Top Colliding Plasmas

ICCD Photography: Time and angle resolved.



C Fallon, P Hayden, N Walsh, E T Kennedy and J T Costello,
Journal of Physics: Conference Series **548** 012036 (2014)

Part II – Table-Top Colliding Plasmas

ICCD Spectroscopy: Time and space resolved.



C Fallon, P Hayden, N Walsh, E T Kennedy and J T Costello,
Physics of Plasmas **22,** 093506 (2015)

Part II – Table-Top Colliding Plasmas

Imaging - effect of seed collision angle

$$\xi = \frac{D}{\lambda_{ii}}$$

$$\lambda_{ii}(1-2) = \frac{m_i^2 v_{12}^4}{4\pi e^4 Z^4 n_e \ln(\Lambda_{12})}$$



C Fallon, P Hayden, N Walsh, E T Kennedy and J T Costello, J. Phys: Conference Series **548** 012036 (2014)

Part II – Table-Top Colliding Plasmas

Time, Space and Angle-Resolved UV-Vis Spectroscopy

Stigmatic Spectrometer + ICCD

Part II – Table-Top Colliding Plasmas

Extracting Densities and Temperatures

Get densities from Stark broadened lines
– assume electron collisions dominant -

$$\Delta\lambda_{\text{width}} = 2W(Ne/10^{16}) + 3.5A(Ne/10^{16})^{1/4} \times (1 - 1.2N_D^{-1/3})W(Ne/10^{16}),$$

Then temperatures from line intensity ratio for successive ions stages - assumes LTE

$$\frac{I'}{I} = \frac{f'g'\lambda^3}{fg\lambda'^3}(4\pi^{3/2}a_0^3Ne)^{-1}\left(\frac{kT}{E_H}\right)^{3/2}\exp\left(\frac{E - E' - E_\infty}{kT}\right)$$

Part II – Table-Top Colliding Plasmas

Stagnation Layer (Al): Electron density (Stark, Al Doublet)







~100 mJ/170 ps/1064 nm 'seed' beam

J Dardis and J T Costello, Spectrochimica Acta Part B **65** pp 627-635 (2010)

Part II – Table-Top Colliding Plasmas

Stagnation Layer (Ca): Electron Temp. – Line ratios



~70 mJ/**355 nm**/
6 ns/ 'seed' beam

$$T_e \approx 0.7eV$$

Ratio of 393 nm (Ca+) to 423 nm (Ca) lines



$$\frac{I'}{I} = \frac{f'g'\lambda^3}{fg\lambda'^3}(4\pi^{3/2}a_0^3 Ne)^{-1}\left(\frac{kT}{E_H}\right)^{3/2}\exp\left(\frac{E-E'-E_\infty}{kT}\right)$$

Part II – Table-Top Colliding Plasmas

Stagnation Layer (Al): Electron density

Spectroscopy - only works well for Δt > 100 ns

Spectra dominated by continuum emission - *solution - time resolved interferometry*



Experimental Setup-

Nomarski Interferometer

P Hough, C McLoughlin, T J Kelly, S S Harilal, J-P Mosnier and J T Costello, Appl. Surf. Sci. **255** 5167 (2009)

Part II – Table-Top Colliding Plasmas

Electron Stagnation at the Collision Plane

P Hough, C McLoughin, T J Kelly, S S Harilal, J P Mosnier and J T Costello, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **42** 055211 (2009)



Part III – Colliding Annular Plasmas

Colliding Aluminium Plasmas - Individual Fluid Asymmetry - cf: Al^+



Angle Resolved Imaging:

Al, Al^+ & Broadband

~300 mJ/ 6 ns/ 1064 nm 'seed' beam

P Hough, C McLoughlin, S S Harilal, J-P Mosnier and J T Costello, J. Appl. Phys. **107** 024904 (2010)

Part III – Colliding Annular Plasmas

Symmetric seed plasmas…. Use a Biprism…



Axicon => Bessel Beam + Focusing => Ring Plasma



$d_r = 2.f.Tan\{(n-1)\alpha\}$ α = apex angle

Part III – Colliding Annular Plasmas

90° viewing angle





0° viewing angle



- Area of annulus: 0.07 cm^2
- Power Density: 1.0 GW/cm^2

Part III. Colliding Annular Plasmas

- 5ns gate width, 0° viewing angle imaging.

5ns Delay



75ns Delay



200ns Delay



- 5ns gate width, 90° viewing angle imaging.

5ns Delay



75ns Delay



200ns Delay



Part III. Colliding Annular Plasmas

Delay: 10 ns
Gate W: 5ns

Delay: 30 ns
Gate W: 5ns

Delay:60 ns
Gate W: 5ns

Axicon







Bi-prism







Part III. Colliding Annular Plasmas

Time and space integrated Al spectrum. Laser energy 36mJ per pulse. 1e-6mbar ambient pressure. 600ns gate.

- 451.3nm (Al^{2+} and 452.8nm (Al^{2+} (used for ne determination)
- 466.3nm (Al^{+})



Part III. Colliding Annular Plasmas

Space resolved, time integrated density profile of aluminium.
Laser energy 36mJ per pulse. 1e-6mbar ambient pressure.
600ns gate



Low initial density for the biprism case due since the slit is aligned along an axis located between the two seed plasmas.

What have we learned so far?

1. Strong stagnation in table-top colliding plasmas due to large value of the collisionality parameter (ζ)

2. Degree of confinement/hardness of the stagnation layer can be controlled by designing the value of ζ

3. Density and temperature are strongly dependent on the seed laser wavelength – so can be selected/controlled via laser parameters

4. Both temperature and density increase with decreasing wedge angle – so can be selected/controlled controlled via target geometry

5. Densities and temperatures remain at higher values for longer in stagnation layers – stagnation layers tend to be stable

What have we learned so far ?

6. Compared to single plume the duration of self emission from atoms and ions lasts longer than form single plumes

7. Stagnation layers becomes quite uniform >100 ns after SL formation - stagnation layers tend to be stable

8. Ergo SLs look potentially attractive for applications in laser ablation analytical sciences [LAAS] and perhaps as an alternative [PLD] source and as sources of atoms, ions, clusters,…

9. We believe that more than one process determines species transport in SL (not shown but discussed in Fallon et al (2015))

10. 'Velocities' of SL species drop as the wedge angle decreases - ion/ neutral 'velocity' ratio generally >3 (not shown but discussed in Fallon et al. (2015))

Part IV. Key Properties–Potential Applications

1. **The most important of these is flexibility.** Since a stagnation layer is a partially ionized plasma, it has many free parameters, which can be tuned for specific applications
2. Opacity is a problem in LIBS as radiation from the core is reabsorbed in the cooler corona - we know that the stagnation layer can be heated uniformly to reduce/eliminate opacity
3. The stagnation layer requires mainly some additional focusing optics and novel target designs and so it is easily retrofitted to existing experiments or commercial systems.
4. The emitting size of a re-heated stagnation layer can be geometrically engineered to readily match the acceptance angle of both optical and mass spectrometric systems.
5. The **stagnation layer is already a pre-heated or proxy plasma** for e.g., double pulse LIBS.

Part IV. Key Properties–Potential Applications

6. We have new experimental evidence that we can **preferentially generate high nanoparticle fluxes** in nanosecond colliding plasmas - P K Pandey, R K Thareja and J T Costello, Physics of Plasmas 23, 103516 (2016)

7. The position of the layer in space can be adjusted by the target geometry and relative energy in each of the laser beams

8. It also does not suffer from clogging as happens in some EUVL Sn-drop and biomolecule injector system applications

9. As we have seen stagnation layer electron **densities ranging up to a few 10^{19} cm^{-3} are readily obtained** - even higher densities are possible with 3ω Nd-YAG seed lasers.

10. We also have preliminary signs that we can control the ion energy spectrum to improve the resolution in TOF applications, reduce ion / debris damage in EUV optical systems, etc.

Part IV. Key Properties–Potential Applications



1. Distance between target and substrate= 4 cm
2. Laser energy = 50 mJ / Pulse for each splitted beam
3. Focal spot size = 300 μm
4. Beam incident on target surface at 45 degree.



Part IV. Key Properties–Potential Applications

TiCu alloy formation by colliding Ti and Cu plasmas – Collaboration with IIT Kanpur – SEM and EDX





Part IV. Key Properties–Potential Applications

Re-excitation of SLs – towards DP LIBS

4 Wedges – 485 nm line - Sn^{2+} - time & space integrated







Part IV. Key Properties–Potential Applications

4 Wedges – 485 nm line - Sn^{2+} - time & space integrated

No Reheat

Inter-pulse Delay

80 ns Reheat

120 ns reheat





Sn^{2+} peak is typ. 170% brighter (Factor of 2 for a Sn^{+} line)



Acquisition Delay Time

Part IV. Key Properties–Potential Applications

Stagnation in air ?







Part IV. Key Properties–Potential Applications







Part IV. Key Properties–Potential Applications

Flat Target



30° Target



60° Target



90° Target



Flat Target



30° Target



60° Target



90° Target




Plasma Densities for 90° Target Cross Section
Electron Density (cm-3)
+17
+17
+17
+17
+17
+17
+17
+00
-0.75
-0.5
-0.25
0
0.25
0.5
0.75
Cross Section Position (mm)
300ns
350ns
400ns
500ns
600ns
800ns
1000ns


Plasma Densities for Flat Target Cross Section
Electron Density (cm-3)
+17
+17
+17
+17
+17
+17
+17
+00
-0.75
-0.5
-0.25
0
0.25
0.5
0.75
Cross Section Position (mm)
300ns
350ns
400ns
500ns
600ns
800ns
1000ns


Time-Resolved Electron Temperature - 90° Target
Electron Temperature (eV)
0.90
0.80
0.70
0.60
0.50
0.40
0.30
0.20
0.10
0.00
0
1000
2000
3000
4000
5000
Time From Laser Pulse (ns)
-0.5mm'
-0.25mm'
0mm'
0.25mm'
0.5mm'


Time-Resolved Electron Temperature - Flat Target
Electron Temperature (eV)
1.00
0.80
0.60
0.40
0.20
0.00
0
1000
2000
3000
4000
5000
Time From Laser Pulse (ns)
-0.5mm'
-0.25mm'
0mm'
0.25mm'
0.5mm'

Part IV. Key Properties–Target for XFELs

The most important property is flexibility. Since a stagnation layer is a partially ionized plasma, it has many free parameters, which can be tuned for specific applications……

Ti

Cu

Part IV. Key Properties–Target for XFELs





No tuning required
No vapour required

Neutrals (atoms & mols)/
Multiply charged ions/
Refractory Elements

Δx, ΔT, I(W/cm^2)
➔ Species choice

Backlighting Plasma I_o
Both Plasmas $I = I_o e^{-\sigma n L}$

Relative Absorption
Cross Section $\sigma NL = Ln(Io/I)$

J T Costello et al., Phys.Scr. **T34**, 77 (1991),
E T Kennedy et al., Opt.Eng **33**, 3984 (1994)

Part IV. Key Properties–Target for XFELs

$$4s^24p^6 + h\nu_{XUV} \rightarrow 4s4p^6np + 4s^64p^4nln'l' \rightarrow Kr^+(4s^24p^5) + \varepsilon' l$$



Ti

Cu

A Neogi et al., Phys.Rev.A **67**, Art. No. 042707 (2003), P Yeates et al., J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **37**, 4663 (2004), Ph V Demekhin et al., Optics and Spectroscopy 102, 149–158 (2007)

Part V. Next Steps



Density Simulation with 'HEIGHTS' code from Ahmed Hassanein Purdue University

Part V. Next Steps

Colliding plasmas from C. 10^{10} W/cm^2. 150 mJ, 6 ns pulses, 0.5 mm spot size



Sisyuk et al., J. Appl. Phys. 122, 023303 (2017)

Simulation with 'HEIGHTS' code - Ahmed Hassanein, Purdue Univ.

Also from Purdue. C_2 dimer emission @ GW.cm^{-2} intensities.
Al-Shboul et al.Plasmas 21, 013502 (2014)

Part V. Next Steps

1. Analytical Sciences: Applications of Stagnation Layers in LIBS and LA-ICP-MS for LOD enhancements (NPs)

2a. Bio-molecular Sciences:

Stagnation Layers as 'getters' for biomolecule aggregation in LIAD



C R Calvert, L Belshaw, M J Duffy, O Kelly, R B King, A G Smyth, T J Kelly, J T Costello, D J Timson, W A Bryan, T Kierspel, P Rice, I C E Turcu, C M Cacho, E Springate, I D Williams and J B Greenwood, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **14**, 6289–6297 (2012)

2b. Femtosecond colliding plasmas – nanoparticle stagnation for biomolecular capture…….

3. XUV/X-ray sources:
Applications of Stagnation Layers in double pulse experiments (especially opacity reduction in high-Z materials to enhance spectral line emission)



Fig. 1. (a) Absorption spectrum of xenon from 80 to 200 Å. The xenon pressure in the spectrograph was 0.05 Torr, and the number of laser pulses used was 30. For details of the xenon spectrum in this region see Madden and Codling.[5] The unmarked weak lines near 200 Å are due to O V. Oxygen present in the target gives rise to some emission lines as well. (b) The ytterbium continuum from 60 to 100 Å. The number of laser shots was 20. As in (a), the spectrum was obtained on a Kodak SC5 plate.

P K Carroll et al., Opt. Letts. **2**, 72 (1978)

Part V. Next Steps

UF Laser Spectroscopy@DCU - 2018



Optical Delay Line

Phase-Tech 2D IR Correlation Spectrometry

Pump-Probe Transient Absorption Spectrometer



P K Carroll et al., Opt. Letts. **2**, 72 (1978)

ST EP Science & Technology Enhancement Platform

24th ICSLS in Dublin - Provisional Dates: 17 – 22 June 2018

Local Organiser: John Costello – www.physics.dcu.ie/~jtc

DCU

QS World University Rankings Top 50 Under 50 DCU

Financial Support to DCU Group

Higher Education Authority – Programme for Research in Third Level Institutes (IV and V)

Science Foundation Ireland – Investigator Prog. 12/IA/1742 & SIRG 13/SIRG/2100 (PH)

Irish Research Council (PhD Scholarships / Postdoctoral Fellowships)

EU FP7 Erasmus Mundus Joint Doctorate 'EXTATIC' – EUV and X-ray Technology and Training for Interdisciplinary Cooperation – Grant No. FPA 0033-2012